Game Theory's Impact on Stock Investment: With a Bias Between Loss and Aversion During the Stressed Condition

Dr Rajendra Singh

Associate Professor, HOD Department of Mathematics, Off. Principal MBP.G. College, Dadri, G.B. Nagar

Date of Receiving: 28 December 2022; Date of Acceptance: 01 February 2023; Date of Publication: 17 February 2023

ABSTRACT

In this study, we examine the psychological phenomena of loss aversion during times of market stress via the lens of game theory concepts and their application to stock investment. Combining aspects of behavioral economics, such as loss aversion, with game theory, which offers a robust framework for analyzing strategic interactions among market participants, improves our knowledge of how financial market participants make decisions. The paper starts by explaining the significance of game theory and loss aversion to stock investment by laying the groundwork for these concepts. Because of its foundation in strategic decision-making, game theory is useful for simulating the interplay of institutions, markets, and investors. An important factor in the formation of both individual and group investing behavior is loss aversion, a cognitive bias that places a greater emphasis on the psychological cost of losses than gains.

Keywords: *Game theory; Stock Investment; Bias Loss and Aversion; Stressed*

INTRODUCTION

When it comes to loss aversion under pressure, game theory—a subfield of economics and mathematics that studies strategic interactions among rational decision-makers—has had a major influence on stock investments. One of the cornerstones of behavioral economics is the idea of loss aversion, which states that people would rather not lose money than gain the same amount. With the ever-changing and strategically-minded financial markets in mind, game theory sheds light on the decision-making process of market players when it comes to investing in stocks. (Rabin, M., 2015)

Game theory offers a useful framework for studying investor behavior and tactics in the uncertain and risky realm of stock investment. Recognizing that one participant's decisions are interconnected with the decisions of others, generating a complicated web of interactions, is a major feature of game theory. Citation: Paraschiv (2007) This becomes much more apparent when the market is under pressure, such as during economic downturns, geopolitical crises, or worldwide pandemics, when there is a lot at risk and huge losses might happen. In 2016, Camerer published.

Investors' judgments are sometimes heavily influenced by their loss aversion and the emotional side of investing, which becomes more apparent in stressful situations. Market players face the constant threat of losing money, and game theory provides a framework for modeling and understanding their strategic decisions in this context. According to Ismail (2020), When these times roll around, investors may start to be more risk-averse and choose more cautious approaches to safeguard their investments. The market may become extremely volatile and asset values might fluctuate wildly as a result of investors' collective fear of losing money.

The idea of strategic interactions between various market players is also clarified by game theory. As well as responding to current market conditions, investors in a volatile market must also predict how other market participants will act. (Pan, in the year 2020) The whole dynamics of the market are determined by this strategic anticipation. To illustrate the point, a widespread market sell-off can be put in motion by a domino effect that occurs when a large number of investors sell their assets in anticipation of a collapse. By applying game theory's emphasis on strategic decision-making, we may examine and comprehend these stock market cascade effects.

The function of information asymmetry under pressure may also be investigated using game theory. (Ruiz-Martos, M. J. 2020) Factors impacting investment decisions that are increasingly important as uncertainty increases include the availability and interpretation of information. There may be investors who have access to confidential information and others who depend on publicly available data. Changes in market dynamics might result from the dissemination of accurate or false information. The impact of loss aversion may be amplified by game theory models that capture these asymmetries and show how they add to the decision-making environment as a whole.

The idea of equilibrium is another important part of game theory when investing in stocks under pressure. Nash equilibria are a topic of research for game theorists. These are circumstances when neither party has a reason to modify their strategy on their own. To forecast market results and investor actions, familiarity with these equilibria is crucial. (G. Baray 2020) For example, in a Nash equilibrium, investors may choose cautious measures to limit their losses during a market slump. The ever-changing character of financial markets makes it difficult to both identify and achieve such equilibria.

Game theory is useful for developing investing strategies and it gives insights into investor behavior. Turk (D. 2020) Traders and portfolio managers can improve their market forecasting and decision-making with the help of game-theoretic models. Investors could have a greater chance of surviving the complexity of these difficult market times if they use strategic thinking in their analysis. To do this, one can strategically place assets, employ hedging methods, or change portfolio allocations depending on how other market players are likely to react.

Option and derivative contracts, which are common tools for stock market risk management, may also be better understood and assessed with the help of game theory. (Jurgler, Wurgler (2006) Developing more complex financial products that can adjust to shifting market conditions requires an understanding of the strategic interactions among market players. Investors seeking to reduce the severity of losses in volatile markets may find these products useful, in keeping with the loss aversion concept.

To sum up, the influence of game theory on loss-averse stock investing under pressure is complex and wide-ranging. Theoretically, it helps us make sense of the strategic exchanges that take place among rational decision-makers in the unpredictable and ever-changing financial markets. Among the many factors that shape market dynamics under pressure is loss aversion, a behavioral phenomenon that affects investment decisions. Investor strategy, the impact of information asymmetry, and the establishment of market equilibria may all be better understood with the use of game theory.

In addition, those working in finance and investment may put game theory to good use. Investment strategy formulation, portfolio management decision-making, and risk management practice enhancement can all be aided by this. In 2018, Albion published a work. To better handle the challenges of stressed market circumstances, investors can enhance their grasp of the intricate relationships driving market behavior by adding game-theoretic insights into their assessments. The incorporation of game theory into investing strategies is expected to continue to be an important and useful part of the decision-making process even as financial markets undergo further evolution.

Game Theory

The mathematical and economic field known as "game theory" examines the dynamics of strategic interactions amongst logical decision-makers. It highlights the interconnectedness of choices and offers a framework for examining scenarios where one participant's decision might affect the result of other participants' selections. The

"game," in game theory, is not a metaphor for leisure activity but a formal model for modeling strategic interactions; the two concepts are interdependent. Players, tactics, rewards, and knowledge are the four pillars upon which game theory rests. All of the decision-makers, or "players," have access to a variety of tactics that stand in for the paths they may choose. According to Williams (2018), Each player's payout is based on the results of the mix of tactics they choose. The information takes into account the knowledge about the game that each participant possesses, including their tactics and the strategies and payoffs of others.

Loss-aversion

When people would rather not lose than gain the same amount, this psychological condition is called loss aversion. To rephrase, the perceived negative impact of losing something usually outweighs the positive impact of obtaining it. Behavioral economics, which bridges the gap between the two fields to study human decision-making, relies heavily on this idea. Kohli (2018) The concept of loss aversion was initially proposed by psychologists Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman in the late 1970s. They brought attention to the imbalance in how people weigh and react to possible rewards and losses through their innovative work on prospect theory. If you believe in prospect theory, people usually weigh the benefits and drawbacks of potential outcomes about what they already know or have experienced recently. Santana (2019).

Loss aversion influences several decision-making processes, including monetary decisions, consumer actions, and risk-taking. Fear of loss motivates many people to avoid taking risks while making important financial decisions, like stock market investments. According to Sanna (2020), Quickly selling winning stocks to "lock in" gains and hanging onto failing stocks in the hopes of a recovery are possible motivations for investors. Alternative explanations for customer behavior include loss aversion. As an example, individuals may still buy insurance to safeguard themselves from possible losses, even if doing so would not be monetarily beneficial. The same is true for decisions on sales, discounts, and advertising campaigns.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Chava, S. (2022) It is possible to logically portray economics using empirical results, which sets it apart from other social sciences. As nebulous as the endowment effect may appear, there are empirical grounds to support it. An individual is more concerned with requesting a high price for good than with actually paying that amount, according to the endowment effect. And that's assuming he doesn't use the product very much himself. Disingenuous or prejudiced? Anyone with even a modicum of common sense would see that the buyer is more concerned with hoarding the good than selling it. A "Status Quos Bias" describes this phenomenon. Afraid of losing out, people act by the endowment effect and status quo bias. In a nutshell, consumers are more satisfied with a product's inefficiency than with its usefulness. Instead, these impacts may appear to be associated with a person's mental actions. Although investors may not always be able to overcome their inherent biases, game-theory-based research can help them see investments in a more objective light. Furthermore, stress levels may decrease in tandem with loss aversion.

Sengupta, S. (2021) Common people's lack of financial knowledge, cognitive limitations, and social pressures all work together to make it difficult for them to invest sensibly, which in turn causes stress. Generally speaking, families are not likely to put their money into equities, either directly or via mutual funds, as proposed by Gabor and Geogarakos (2018). Alternatively, cognitive science largely explains why many seasoned investors with the best financial expertise rely on their own experiences and personal judgments when making investment decisions. Three factors, according to Sivaramakrishnan, Srivastava, and Rastogi (2017), influence investment behavior attitudes: the perception of regulator risk avoidance, the hassle factor, and the factor itself. Although they influence the investor's actions positively, these things have the opposite effect on their intentions. Feelings of regret are common whenever people are faced with making a pivotal decision. This phenomenon of regret and its impact on future market decisions is fascinating. It is common to feel regret when better options that were turned down turned out to be the best. While making investment decisions, many people experience regret and dwell on previous events, which can increase their stress levels.

Satchell, S. E. (2020) The ability to self-regulate and make sound decisions go hand in hand. How people respond in what is referred to as "applied settings" in the scientific community has been the subject of a great deal of previous research. The ability to make choices is severely restricted by a maze of predetermined parameters. It's fascinating to see how people adapt when faced with constraints. As an example, how can a person make rational investment decisions when guaranteed rewards (in an applied setting)? On the other hand, what would an individual do to exercise self-control and invest solely in reasonable stocks if capital were constrained (applied setting)? The identification of "biases" gives rise to such situations. Investing choices are impacted by bias, which may be extracted via scrutiny. The stock market was also significantly impacted by China's choice to shift from market-oriented corporate decisions to market-oriented methods. Businesses might issue stocks and other securities as a means of raising cash within the previously established procedures. Consequently, being enthusiastic about business and investments is a byproduct of making market-oriented decisions that are beneficial, which in turn leads one to be biased towards investing in the market.

Devers, C. E., & Holmes, R. M. (2019) Given the current state of the market, most investors are naturally risk-averse and hate to lose money. In response to mounting evidence of systematic behavioral breaches of anticipated value theory, Kahneman and Tversky (1979) introduced the Prospect Theory of loss aversion, framing, and bargaining. In a related study, Dong, Luo, and Liang (2015) sought to understand decision-makers mental processes by applying prospect theory. According to Wang, Zhang, and Wang (2015), the prospect theory is detrimental to two stages of the selection process: editing and evaluation. According to Levy (1996) and Neyse, Vieider, Ring, Probst, Kaernbach, van Eimeren, and Schmidt (2020), individuals often examine reference points about their difficulties and respond appropriately, according to prospect theory, which differs from anticipated utility theory. People might, for example, use "losses as a reference point" to avoid choices when the risk of loss is more emphasized than the benefit of gain. Since people's likes and preferences vary based on their culture, the prospect theory incorporates anomalies into a distinct explanation of hazardous choice. highlights how prospect theory has played a unique role in incorporating psychophysical factors into risk decision-making theories. Such factors include, for instance, the fact that the worth of a result is reference point dependent and that people are more sensitive to loss than gain (i.e., loss aversion). In addition to having the right psychological underpinnings, being theoretically valuable, and being a parsimonious theory that can explain other mysteries, loss aversion can also explain the St. Petersburg conundrum without needing concave utility. There are a few unanswered concerns about his research, including whether loss aversion is a permanent preference trait, if it represents dread, and what its characteristics are.

Izadi, J., & Olya, H. G. (2018) An excellent equities market, one that makes optimal use of game theory principles, is the single most critical component. Investors can issue securities and raise enough cash in a healthy stock market. Household habits are influenced by the market. A household's wealth is influenced by the market's commercial activity, which in turn affects consumption. In the end, consumers would be happy if their household had a lot of money. Conversely, if market activity were weak and wealth were smaller, individuals would be more practical in their spending and saving. As a result, customer prejudice and consumption habits may be accurately assessed in this setting. The stock market also has an impact on businesses. Companies would increase staffing levels if stock market shares rose naturally. Here, the connection between the stock market and hiring and dismissal is quite obvious. Investors' reactions to the health of the stock market are also unimportant. For example, a realistic investor would prefer not to pool his assets in the long term if two companies move in tandem in terms of price. He is understandably wary about investing, and that fear is likely related to the current state of the market.

METHODOLOGY

The qualitative method was used to fully understand the respondent's perspective in this study. No prior knowledge of the participants' responses was imparted, and no opinion was expressed on the likely outcomes. To acquire specific facts and numbers concerning market investors, this study employed an interview-based multi-case study technique, which involved collecting data through semi-structured interviews. Through the careful analysis of themes and evidence, patterns and underlying links may be discovered with the help of several examples. Please refer to Table 3.1 for the case study procedure.

Interview questions centered on the study's central theme: how to alleviate investors' anxiety and loss aversion through the application of game theory. When faced with a scenario where an investor attempts to invest while suffering from a loss-averse bias under pressure, this study was able to compare and contrast several context-specific viewpoints on how to use game theory.

Experts in the Pakistani and American stock markets made up the study's population. To get the most out of the interviews, they were semi-structured. Also, senior executives and market specialists were interviewed about 22 times for this study, including 16 in-person interviews and 6 via open-ended scales (Table 3.2). However, only 16 of those interviewees provided thoughtful responses that met the criteria of the study.

Table 3.1: Case study protocol

S. No.	Case study steps
1	Finding individual holdings to turn them into "multiple cases"
2	Expansion of research inquiries
3	Selecting the proper research tools and procedures, such as focus groups and semi-structured interviews, to collect qualitative data
4	Finding "appropriate" participants involves selecting a vertical and horizontal slice of the case studies that know about human resource development and environmental management.
5	Data collection period – PSX Pak–November – December 2019
6	Data analysis: within the case at single subsidiary level – PSX
7	Development of overarching themes – PSX
8	Data collection period – NYSE USA – February 2012
9	Data analysis: within the case at single subsidiary level – NYSE
10	Development of overarching themes – NYSE
11	Cross-case analysis – PSX and NYSE
12	Literature comparison: identification of similarities and differences
13	Reaching closure: literature and data saturation achieved
14	Dissemination: report and article development

Table 3.2: Interview respondents

Job role USA Category	Pakistan	
Investment Analyst	4	1
Mutual Fund Manager	2	1
Executive of Stock’s Regulatory body	1	1
Senior/Habitual investor(s)	4	2
Total Participants	11	5

According to their job descriptions in Table 3.2, everyone involved is quite well-versed in the ins and outs of stock market investing and the market as a whole.

The relevant literature on loss aversion, stress, and game theory as it pertains to investing served as the basis for the main interview questions. You may find the interview questions in Table 3.3.

The interviews began with a general overview of the following topics: investors' biases in the stock market, the decisions that shape their lives, investors' psychological impressions, the sensitivity of the stock market, and investors' risk-taking propensity. Next, the interviews dove headfirst into the specifics of the literature, including topics like statistical methods for investment risk mitigation. The length of the one-on-one interviews varied from 25 to 45 minutes, with some participants receiving as much as 45 minutes.

Table 3.3: Interview protocol and questions

Interview protocol

Introduce the interviewer/s and participant/sOutline the research process Outline the purpose of the research, including aims and objectives Discuss potential research outcomes, and ethical issues and obtain consent Outline the structure of the interview/focus group

Research themes and specific questions*Investor's biases in the stock market*

1. At the moment an investor buys stocks, what kinds of biases are most likely to influence their decision?

2. Could someone kindly explain how biases impact market returns?

3. The regulations of the stock market regulating body (like SECP in Pakistan) impact investors' attitudes to what degree?

The life-shaping decisions of the investors

1. In your opinion, do you think that people's life-altering choices often involve stock investments?

2. Why stock investment is good in Pakistan/USA?

Investor's psychological perceptions

1. Would you mind summarizing the top two or three thoughts that investors had when they bought the stock?
2. The degree to which market participants may acknowledge prejudice in their positions. Does it matter whether they address their biases? In cases like this, what part do you play? In a given scenario, how does your role affect things?

Sensitivity of the stock market

1. How sensitive is the PSX/NYSE?

2. Which level of efficiency (weak, semi-strong & strong) may exist in the PSX/NYSE?

Risk-taking propensity of investors

1. In your opinion, are most investors naturally risk-averse? If the answer is yes, then what can you do to inspire them to embrace risk-taking?

2. When under pressure, investors might choose between high and low risks. Do you have any opinions?

About you

1. While deciding to put money into the PSX/NYSE, what kinds of statistical methods do you find most useful?

2. When it comes to logical investing, what do you think are the most important factors to consider?

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

While the literature analysis was the sole method of gathering secondary data for this study, in-person interviews and open-ended questionnaires constituted the bulk of the primary data collection.

The study's key conclusions are few, according to Pakistani and American analysts:

Findings

Common

Since no one is completely objective, nearly every responder acknowledged that several types of investor biases may occur while investing in the stock markets (PSX & NYSE). Emotions are a part of his or her personality, too. Game theory techniques, however, may modulate individual biases to a certain degree. For example, in the fields of economics and statistics, game theory has sought to provide insight into how people act on various platforms. People choose the best option. You can find the best solution for a game or pattern using these choice modes. Statistical analysis is concerned with probabilities, choices, hopes, and outcomes. Adding game theory on any platform will be possible shortly.

Any number of everyday activities may benefit from game theory. If we look at the decisions that shape our lives, financial decisions are among the most influential. Possibilities include real estate and stock markets. With each passing day, people face a plethora of decision-making opportunities. To choose one option from several is to illustrate the decision-making process. Certain judgments are so mundane and uninteresting that they aren't even thought about, while others, like stock market investments, are crucial and need a multi-faceted strategy. The choices that investors make are influenced by specific elements. On top of that, by putting game theory into reality, we may unveil novel career aspirations. Daily, we pronounce based on our experiences and tendencies. Their operationalization is possible through game theory.

There are situations in which the impact on the endowment and the status quo are not compatible, and in such instances, the equity is dependent on the latter. To adhere rigidly to an existing stance just because it is "current" is an example of status quo bias. Investors sometimes find themselves clinging to their present positions due to a bias in their logic that favors their popularity. In addition, the real-world applications of game theory mimic its discriminating utility for small, situationally specific issues and solutions that build on the status quo's values.

Not to mention that most market investors probably don't think of themselves as biased, and even fewer of them seek out market specialists to assist them overcome their biases. But that can't be, since no one can live here without prejudice. The market is a poor place to discover genuine reasonable means. Neoclassical economics and this beginning of rationality are quite similar. So, in neoclassical economics and game theory, rationality is crucial.

A person's actual stock investment is predicted by their investment intention, which is a significant predictor of their behavior. While objective financial literacy alone affects behavior, the essence of financial literacy can have enormous ramifications for intent in both circumstances. Market traders have more time to research and make business decisions than registered investors. Despite this, investors persist in displaying an excessive amount of certainty in their investment decisions, even after thoroughly analyzing the market statistically. Plus, the hardest thing for a busy person to do is manage their time well. There could be a balancing effect from game theory.

When making decisions that include taking risks, the fear of losing money plays a big role when it comes to investing in the stock market. Although loss aversion is common among the general public, it may wreak havoc

on a trader's bankroll if they don't learn to control their emotions. One example of loss aversion is the unwillingness to accept defeat after a single deal. Aversion to loss causes investors to deviate from their trading strategy. The problem won't magically disappear because, as humans, we tend to value harm more highly than success. Risk-averse investors often unload their shares as their value rises. Why? Why? Because they are immune to experiencing defeat. They hang onto losing stocks for much too long, frequently without thinking. However, this is a bad plan for building a portfolio gradually. Game theory, on the other hand, may help economists construct alternative policies by providing a clear picture of the current state of the market and a framework for preserving competing viewpoints based on the information that is now available. The results of various market strategies are lauded.

The best course of action for reasonable individuals is to spend money sensibly on the stock market. People who are easily overwhelmed and fearful of losing will likely not thrive there. Even if it's business as usual in the stock market, anxious individuals worry excessively about short-term anomalous moves. People who are anxious or afraid of losing money cannot rebalance their investments over time, which is essential if they want to be effective traders. Nevertheless, depending on your goals, strategically changing your assets might help lower risk and reach your objectives. Despite the anxiety, the primary benefit of game theory for investors is its ability to guide on the optimal times to purchase and sell.

People afraid of losing money often fail to develop sound investing strategies because they focus too narrowly on the here and now. Capitalists, on the other hand, should prioritize long-term objectives and investments if they want to achieve market peace. People who are afraid of losing money tend to focus on their returns instead of their worth, although valuing things is crucial to making money in the market. One would assume that academics only utilize game theory to describe how choices are made to increase value, but in reality, under extremely stressful settings, only game theory practices can improve value.

Another way to look at loss aversion is the tendency to hold on to things that aren't as good as they initially were. This can happen when people sell things they own, like a car, for something else, like a television set, and then they realize that the TV set isn't as good as the car.

The market participants' overconfidence and false optimism contributed to the 2008 financial crisis by causing them to underestimate risk. Investors were never vulnerable to poor profit while markets were operating normally before the financial crisis. As a result, the investors lost money since they gambled based on the optimistic expectations of the financial markets and their previous profits, rather than the reality of the market. Investors' arrogance leads them to overestimate their expertise, underestimate their ability to manage events and undervalue risks. Experts run the danger of being overconfident if a job description isn't clear and doesn't include any assumptions about what the correct answer is. Nonetheless, there are many instances where these types of investors employ game theory as a tool. The ostensible goal of using game theory in these contexts is to get insights into the policies that certain actors should implement.

Disliking the prospect of loss is a mistake in judgment if the loss is temporary, but it is a valid preference if the loss is large and either painful or long-lasting. Since this is the case, it begs the intriguing issue of whether loss aversion is a real part of desire or just an emotional reaction. Loss aversion, according to the author, is frequently an exaggerated emotional reaction of terror, a learned reaction to the idea of real, damaging, life-threatening loss. Even though these setbacks are not fatal, people tend to overanalyze them. One significant outcome of game theory in these cases is a partial range of possible actions, as game theory training leads one to believe that they are the only reasonable or balanced choices.

In any case, a person may be able to make a more informed investment choice with the aid of a forecasting method that takes into account the best available historical data in addition to a critical evaluation of the present situation.

Pakistan

In almost every case, the participants acknowledged that:

Investing decisions are heavily impacted by investors' psychological biases. Academic studies have demonstrated that psychological biases impact economic actors' actions in a variety of ways. And there's mounting evidence that doesn't add up when you try to fit asset market trading volumes and returns into completely logical models. To apply game theory for exact analysis, it is necessary to convert the returns that each investor receives as a result of their choices into a collective measure, such as money. Calculating individual values is the most basic use of game theory.

Individual returns and market returns are both susceptible to the extent to which investors exhibit irrationality and prejudice in their decision-making. Because the restrictions governing the limits of individual investors' purchasing and selling are inadequate, allowing powerful players in this market to exercise patronage. In addition, most businesses are run by uncaring individuals who prioritize their interests over the public good. Uneven values, such as those associated with human lives, substantial properties, respect, and pleasure, seem to have to be compressed to a common yardstick according to game theory procedures. There is a tendency to downplay metaphysical, moral, visual, and social aspects in a study in favor of calculable values since these components have to be reduced to statistics.

On the other hand, stock might be a game-changer for investors. Stocks are seen as an investment in long-term equity in rational markets, but they believe they can earn quick profits from them. In terms of investing in mature stocks, returns are typically thought of as fixed, according to game theory research. This eliminates the possibility of associating different types of investors with different value fluctuations.

Experts can often help people make more reasonable investments, but it's tough to influence people's market mindsets. Game theory does, however, adhere to several established norms and concepts, such as those about "rationality" and "information."

If the market is efficient, investors should be able to relax and make logical investments. Despite this, Pakistan's stock market is inefficient in its weak form and only partially robust in its weak form. Alternatively, the Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) quickly attained a high level of efficiency.

Additionally, those who are very sentimental and risk-averse are likely to make the poor decision of selling assets and turning them into cash during a poor market. Most shareholders, whether they're complete novices or seasoned pros, are prone to behavioral tactics that lead them astray while making important decisions. This happens regardless of how smart or talented someone is.

However, in the current financial climate, failure in even a single transaction can cause unsophisticated stock market participants to feel that sickening feeling in the pit of their stomach. A sense of perspective, like the "portfolio approach" employed by professional brokers, may be all that's needed to keep that mindset at bay.

Real economic participants with extensive decision-making expertise tend to be less risk-averse. Depending on how often agents assess their investments, there may be times when the return on hazardous assets is high and others when it is low.

In addition to all the facts, a key idea in game theory is the Nash equilibrium, which refers to a situation in a game where no player can gain an advantage by independently modifying their plan, assuming that the other participants likewise do not alter their plans. In a noncooperative setting, the Nash equilibrium provides a solution notion.

USA

When asked to assess the feelings of individual investors, nearly all respondents acknowledged that Everyone's psychology has its biases. There is a difference between sentiments and emotions, even though they are synonyms (the Oxford English Dictionary defines sentiment as "a mental feeling"). The three main schools of thought in psychology are conservatism, overconfidence, and representativeness. Individual investors' tendency to overreact or underreact to fundamentals or prior performance can be explained by these psychological biases. In addition,

game theory sheds light on many underappreciated aspects of these psychological scenarios that emerge from competing interests.

Several psychologists have shown that when people strive to make their reality more positive, they tend to leave out details that are negative or dangerous. If there's one thing that everyone wants to reverse, it's a bad guess. Rather than trying to structure the losses through risky investments, it is better to psychologically finish the operation because time cannot be turned back. Also, when considering the interconnectedness of investments, game theory provides a framework for examining decision-making in these types of situations.

Although it might not impact the market as a whole, investments characterized by extreme irrationality and bias tend to impact individual outcomes. The NYSE is a highly capitalized market where the vast majority of investors act rationally; as a result, the market's modest irrationality is typically concealed. Regulatory bodies impose stringent rules and regulations, which are strictly adhered to by all market participants.

With this in mind, the stock may be a game-changer for the investor. Why? Because they see shares as an equity investment for the long haul and hope to see their industry thrive in the end.

Individual market specialists and regulatory bodies may work together to improve people's views of the market as a place for sensible, long-term investment, which is good for everyone involved. In addition, professionals recommend that investors employ game theory analysis, as this field provides a technical quantitative method that may help investors choose the best course of action.

Furthermore, in the event of a very efficient market, the endeavors of specialists and regulators do their duties effectively and promptly. Since not every investor has access to the same kind of market data in real-time, the NYSE is only semi-strong form efficient. Nevertheless, game theory research proves to be an invaluable tool for predicting investment outcomes in nearly every scenario.

Investors acquire confidence and are motivated to increase their risk factor when they continue to enjoy repeated benefits from their investments. Investors often overlook the risk component and become too optimistic in their pursuit of big profits. Research by Nosic and Weber (2010) and Dorn and Huberman (2005) suggests that overconfident investors are more likely to accept risks without clear benefits in return.

We discuss attractiveness and its support for negative growth in valence. The term "valence loss" describes an unpleasant change (like getting a speeding ticket or having your home burned down) while "valence gain" describes a pleasant change (like getting a nice present). It makes sense, as individuals react more strongly to setbacks than to successes. There are a lot of risk-averse persons in this idea. This is known as the endowment effect, and it means that they remain with the present possessor.

In contrast, the merging hypothesis states that two branches of a gamble with the same outcome can be joined if their probabilities are similar. Originally proposed in 1979, the potential theory took coalesce for granted as a norm for editing.

CONCLUSION

In the setting of loss aversion amid strained market conditions, game theory—which is based on strategic decision-making and interactions among rational agents—has a significant influence on stock investments. An important idea in behavioral economics known as "loss aversion" states that people are more likely to prioritize avoiding losses than achieving similar benefits. Investors may be more influenced by loss aversion than by logical consideration of possible profits in the stock market, which might cause them to make poor decisions. Market players' strategic responses to these kinds of situations can be better understood with the help of game theory. The ever-changing market results from investors' plans being influenced by their perceptions of others' activities. In addition, investors can lessen the effect of loss aversion on their investment decisions by taking strategic

interactions and decision-making processes into account in game theory. This helps them better predict and handle market stress.

REFERENCES

1. Minehart, D., & Rabin, M. (2015). Loss aversion in a consumption–savings model. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 38(2), 155-178.
2. Abdellaoui, M., Bleichrodt, H., & Paraschiv, C. (2007). Loss aversion under prospect theory: A parameter-free measurement. Management Science, 53(10), 1659-1674.
3. Cachon, G. P., & Camerer, C. F. (2016). Loss-avoidance and forward induction in experimental coordination games. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 111(1), 165-194.
4. Ismail, M. S. (2020). Every Normal-Form Game Has a Pareto-Optimal Nonmyopic Equilibrium.
5. Babenko, I., Tserlukevich, Y., & Wan, P. (2020). Is market timing good for shareholders? Management Science.
6. Ruiz-Martos, M. J. (2020). Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot Stag-Hunt Game. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 101535.
7. Akkuş, B., Postmes, T., Stroebe, K., & Baray, G. (2020). Cultures of conflict: Protests, violent repression, and community values. British journal of social psychology, 59(1), 49- 65.
8. Walford, A., Vernon, L., Itagaki, F., & Turk, D. (2020). The relationship between endowment and ownership effects in memory across cultures. Consciousness and Cognition, 78, 102865.
9. Baker, M., & Wurgler, J. (2015). Investor sentiment in the stock market. Journal of economic perspectives, 21(2), 129-152
10. Dolan, R. J., & Sirigu, A. (2015). Brain, emotion and decision making: the paradigmatic example of regret. Trends in cognitive sciences, 11(6), 258-265.
11. Albion, F. M. (2018). A methodological analysis of self-control in applied settings. Behavioral Disorders, 8(2), 87-102.
12. Röder, F. (2020). Self-attribution bias and overconfidence among nonprofessional traders. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance.
13. Baker, R. J., Laury, S. K., & Williams, A. W. (2018). Comparing small-group and individual behavior in lottery-choice experiments. Southern Economic Journal, 367-382.
14. Kohli, B. (2018). Role of Behavioral Biases in Investment Decisions: A Factor Analysis. Indian Journal of Finance, 12(3), 43-57.
15. Barberis, N., Huang, M., & Santos, T. (2019). Prospect theory and asset prices. The quarterly journal of economics, 116(1), 1-53.
16. Hirshleifer, D. (2015). Overconfident investors, predictable returns, and excessive trading. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(4), 61-88.
17. Corrao, R., & Sanna, F. (2020). Epistemic game theory without types structures: An application to psychological games. Games and Economic Behavior, 120, 28-57.
18. De Bondt, W. F., & Thaler, R. H. (2017). Financial decision-making in markets and firms: A behavioral perspective (No. w4777). National Bureau of Economic Research.
19. Birnbaum, M. H., & Bahra, J. P. (2019). Gain-loss separability and coalescing in risky decision making. Management Science, 53(6), 1016-1028.
20. Glazer, A. (2017). Support and opposition to a Pigovian tax: Road pricing with reference-dependent preferences. Journal of Urban Economics, 99, 31-47.
21. Chava, S. (2022). Hyperbole or reality? Investor response to extreme language in earnings conference calls. The Accounting Review, 95(2), 31-60.
22. Sengupta, S. (2021). What makes the base of the pyramid susceptible to investment fraud. Journal of Financial Crime.
23. Satchell, S. E. (2020). Asymmetry, loss aversion, and forecasting. The Journal of Business, 79(4), 1809-1830.

24. Devers, C. E., & Holmes, R. M. (2019). The effects of endowment and loss aversion in managerial stock option valuation. Academy of Management Journal, 50(1), 191-208.
25. Izadi, J., & Olya, H. G. (2018). Failure of play on asset disposals and share buybacks: application of game theory in the international hotel market. Tourism Management, 77, 103984.